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8. New Course: MGMT 745 Managing the Sustainable Enterprise MBA
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Box 1: TYPE OF ACTION ADD(NEW) ☑ DEACTIVATE ☐ MODIFY ☐ OTHER ☐

Box 2: LEVEL OF ACTION Non-Credit ☐ Undergraduate ☑ Graduate ☑ OTHER ☐

Box 3: ACTION ITEM (check appropriate boxes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Item</th>
<th>Documents Required (see box 4 below)</th>
<th>Impact Reviews (see box 5 on back)</th>
<th>Approval Sequence (see box 6 on back)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Experimental Course</td>
<td>NOP</td>
<td>a, c, e</td>
<td>AC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Course Title</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Course Credits</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Course Number</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Course Level</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Pre &amp; Co-Requisite</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Course Description</td>
<td>NOP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. New Course</td>
<td>NOP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Deactivate a Course</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Program Requirements</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>b, c, d, e</td>
<td>ABCDEF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11a. UG Specialization (24 credits or less)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>a, b, c, d, e</td>
<td>ABCDEF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11b. Masters Specialization (12 credits or less)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>a, b, c, d, e</td>
<td>ABCDEF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11c. Doctoral Specialization (16 credits or less)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>a, b, e</td>
<td>ABCDEF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Closed Site Program</td>
<td>NOT</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>ABCDEFHIK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Program Suspension</td>
<td>NO, 5</td>
<td>a, e</td>
<td>ABCDEGIK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14a. Certificate Program (ug/g) exclusively within existing degree program</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>a, c, e</td>
<td>ABCDEFHIK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14b. Certificate Program (ug/g) where degree programs do not exist or where courses are selected across degree programs (12 or more credits)</td>
<td>NOQR, 6</td>
<td>a, c, e</td>
<td>ABCDEFHJL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Off-Campus Delivery of Existing Program</td>
<td>NO, 4</td>
<td>a, b, c, e</td>
<td>ABCDHIJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16a. UG Concentration (exceeds 24 credit hours)</td>
<td>NO, 5</td>
<td>a, b, c, d, e</td>
<td>ABCDEFGHJL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16b. Masters Concentration (exceeds 12 credit hours)</td>
<td>NO, 5</td>
<td>a, c, d, e</td>
<td>ABCDEFGHJL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16c. Doctoral Concentration (exceeds 18 credit hours)</td>
<td>NO, 5</td>
<td>a, c, d, e</td>
<td>ABCDEFGHJL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Program Title Change</td>
<td>NO, 5</td>
<td>a, c, d, e</td>
<td>ABCDEFGHJL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Program Termination</td>
<td>NO, 10</td>
<td>d, e</td>
<td>ABCDEFGHIK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. New Degree Program</td>
<td>NOQR, 3, 8</td>
<td>a, c, d, e</td>
<td>ABCDEFGHJL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Other</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Box 4: DOCUMENTATION (check boxes of documents included)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOCUMENT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>N. This Cover Sheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>O. Summary Proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>P. Course Definition Document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>Full 5-page MHEC Proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Financial Tables (MHEC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Approval of experimental course automatically lapses after two offerings unless permanently approved as a new course.
2. Codes: a) Library Services (Langsdale or Law) b) Office of Technology Services c) University Relations d) Admissions
3. Letter of Intent is required by USM at least 30 days before a full proposal can be submitted. Letter of Intent requires only the approval of the dean and the provost and is forwarded to USM by the Office of the Provost.
4. One-page letter to include: Program title & degree/certificate to be awarded; resources requirements; need and demand; similar programs; method of instruction; and oversight and student services (MHEC requirement)
5. One-page letter with description and rationale (MHEC requirement)
6. One or two-page document that describes: centrality to mission; market demand; curriculum design; adequacy of faculty resources; and assurance program will be supported with existing resources. (MHEC requirement)
7. Learning objectives, assessment strategies; fit with UB strategic plan
8. Joint Degree Program or Primary Degree Programs require submission of MOU w/ program proposal. (MHEC requirement)
9. Temporary suspension of program to examine future direction; time not to exceed two years. No new students admitted during suspension, but currently enrolled students must be given opportunity to satisfy degree requirements.
10. Provide:
   a. evidence that the action is consistent with UB mission and can be implemented within the existing program resources of the institution.
   b. proposed date after which no new students will be admitted into the program;
   c. accommodation of currently enrolled students in the realization of their degree objectives;
   d. treatment of all tenured and non-tenured faculty and other staff in the affected program;
   e. reallocation of funds from the budget of the affected program; and
   f. existence at other state public institutions of programs to which to redirect students who might have enrolled in the program proposed for abolition.

11. University Council review (for a recommendation to the President or back to the Provost) shall be limited to curricular or academic policy issues that may potentially affect the University's mission and strategic planning, or have a significant impact on the generation or allocation of its financial resources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 5: IMPACT REVIEW</th>
<th>SIGNATURES (see procedures for authorized signers)</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Library</td>
<td>Director or designee:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No impact</td>
<td>□ Impact statement attached</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. OTS</td>
<td>CIO or designee:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No impact</td>
<td>□ Impact statement attached</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. University Relations</td>
<td>Director or designee:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No impact</td>
<td>□ Impact statement attached</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Admissions</td>
<td>Director or designee:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No impact</td>
<td>□ Impact statement attached</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Records</td>
<td>Registrar or designee:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No impact</td>
<td>□ Impact statement attached</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 6: APPROVAL SEQUENCE</th>
<th>APPROVAL SIGNATURES</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Department / Division</td>
<td>Chair: [Signature]</td>
<td>12/18/08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Final faculty review body within each School</td>
<td>Chair: [Signature]</td>
<td>12/17/08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. College Dean</td>
<td>Dean: [Signature]</td>
<td>12/17/08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Provost and Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs</td>
<td>Provost: [Signature]</td>
<td>11/30/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Curriculum Review Committee (UFS subcommittee)</td>
<td>Chair: [Signature]</td>
<td>2/15/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. University Faculty Senate (UFS option)</td>
<td>Chair:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. University Council (see # 11 above)</td>
<td>Chair:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. President</td>
<td>President:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Board of Regents – notification only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Board of Regents – approval</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. MHEC – notification only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. MHEC – approval</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Middle States Association notification</td>
<td>Required only if the mission of the University is changed by the action</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
O-1: Briefly describe what is being requested:

Addition of a new course to the MBA curriculum: **MGMT 745 Managing the Sustainable Enterprise** (3)

This course will serve as the *required course* for all students in the new MBA specialization in Sustainability Management.

---

For new courses or changes in existing courses (needed by Registrar)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OLD Title:</th>
<th>Course # / HEGIS Code:</th>
<th>Credits:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NEW Title:</th>
<th>Course # / HEGIS Code:</th>
<th>Credits:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Managing the Sustainable Enterprise</td>
<td>MGMT 745</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

O-2: Set forth the rationale for the proposal:

This course will serve as the *required course* for all students in the new MBA specialization in sustainability.

Sustainability is a concept that focuses on the wise use and management of economic and environmental resources. The course is designed to provide students with a deep understanding of that concept and its components of social, economic, and environmental responsibility. It offers insights about proven strategies, tools and techniques that individuals can use to infuse sustainability into business organizations of all types and sizes in ways that contribute to the organization’s success. Students are prepared to design, explain, promote, and implement a strategy for bringing a sustainability culture into any company. The focus of the course is on business organizations.
Proposed Course Syllabus (Document P)
MGMT 745 Managing the Sustainable Enterprise
UB/Towson MBA

1. Date Prepared: 25 November 2008
2. Prepared by: Vince Luchsinger
3. Department: Management and Marketing
4. Course Number: MGMT 745
5. Course Title: Managing the Sustainable Enterprise
6. Credit Hours 3
7. Catalog Description:

Managing the Sustainable Enterprise Sustainability is a modern business concept that focuses on development of win-win-win business strategies that respect people, profit and the planet ("the triple bottom line"). This course will incorporate the history of capital, business and environmentalism and the triple-bottom-line concept. It will enable managers to incorporate sustainability into every phase of the business process and develop appreciation for the competitive implications of a sustainable business strategy.

8. Prerequisites: none
9. Faculty qualified to teach the course: Vince Luchsinger
10. Course Type/ Component: Lecture
11. Suggested approximate class size: 25
12. Content Outline (See Attachment A)
13. Learning Objectives

Upon completion of this course, students should be able to:
a. Make the business case for sustainability and corporate responsibility.
b. Understand the issues related to the successful implementation of corporate sustainability and responsibility agendas.
c. Be able to relate internal and external environment events and trends to Triple Bottom Line analysis,
d. Prepare useful change strategies and change agent roles for corporate responsibility.
e. Manage the corporate sustainability process effectively and efficiently.
14. **Assessment Strategies:**

Grading weights will be as follows:

- Class participation, on-line discussion, contribution to team, and peer evaluation 
  (based in large part on student survey at end of course) 35%
- Individual papers (3 x 10%) 30%
- Team PowerPoint (10%) and briefing (5%); and
- Individual supporting project research paper (20%)

15. **Suggested Texts and Materials:**


**SUSTAINABILITY BIBLIOGRAPHY**


ATTACHMENT A

I. Introduction to Sustainability

Class 1 (insert date): The Sustainability Concept

a. Reading assignment: The Sustainability Handbook, Introduction and Executive Summary and Chapters 1 and 2, pp. xiii-xii, 1-12, 17-29

b. CONFERENCE Group discussion issues:

(1) Before you joined the class, what impressions did you have about the meaning of sustainability, sustainable development, corporate citizenship, and corporate social responsibility? What are the pros and cons of a company using each of these terms in internal and external communications?

(2) Possible small group discussion with report back to entire class: What provisions of the model sustainability policy presented in Figure 2.2 of the Handbook might companies find most difficult to accept? Why? What specific things might a company do to meet each provision?

Class 2 (insert date): Sustainability Trends—Part A

a. Reading assignment: The Sustainability Handbook, Appendix 1, sections 1.0-1.22, pp. 551-591


c. Paper no.1: What kind of business opportunities and threats might the issues covered in Millennium Development Goals 1-7 (see Handbook Figure A1.0.1) pose to one of the following types of multinational businesses: (i) healthcare company, (ii) steel company, (iii) raw plastics supplier, or (iv) furniture company? (Student selects the company type.)

d. Group discussion issues: What are the sustainability trends (1.0-1.22) of greatest impact to various other types of companies? What business opportunities and threats may they pose? How
might such trends affect these companies’ products, services and operations?

Class 3 (insert date): Sustainability Trends—Part B

a. Reading assignment: The Sustainability Handbook, Appendix 1, sections 1.23-1.36, pp. 591-627

b. Group discussion issues:
   (1) What are the sustainability trends (1.23-1.36) of greatest impact to each of the following: (i) chain grocery store, (ii) large farm produce supplier, (iii) consumer-products company, and (iv) financial investment company? What business opportunities and threats may these trends pose? How might such trends affect the companies’ products, services and operations?
   (2) Why don’t governments and business spend more time addressing these critical trends? What are the obstacles to doing this?

Class 4 (insert date): The Value of Sustainability—Part A

a. Reading assignment: The Sustainability Handbook, Chapter 3, pp. 33-70

1. Group discussion issue: Each student brings to class some product from the grocery or hardware store and discusses briefly how it might be improved from a sustainability perspective.

Class 5; The Value of Sustainability—Part B

a. Reading assignment: The Sustainability Handbook, Chapter 3, pp. 70-108
   c. Group discussion issues (two alternatives):

(1) What are the most attractive arguments to business concerning the value of a sustainability initiative? Why? What are the weakest? Why? Why don’t more companies understand and act to gain this value?
(2) If you were a socially responsible investment advisor, what kinds of information would you examine to determine if the target company was sustainable enough to justify recommending its stock?

II. The Organizational Elements for Managing Sustainability Effectively

Class 6 (insert date): The Sustainability Operating System; the Key Elements and Basic Structure

a. Reading assignment: The Sustainability Handbook, Chapter 4, pp. 123-159

b. Paper no. 2: Wal-Mart has decided it should adopt some appropriate external sustainability codes of the types described in Appendix 1. What types of codes should they consider and why? Within each type recommended, what particular code might be the best fit for them?

c. Group discussion issues:
   (1) Why are the following organizational structures important for a successful sustainability initiative within a large company, and what may happen if they are absent: (i) leader, (ii) sponsor, (iii) core team, (iv) deployment team? What alternative structures might also achieve
the same aims?

(2) What should the role be for the CEO in an SOS? What approach(es) should be taken by the sustainability champion or leader if the CEO is reluctant to show visible support for it?

Class 7 (insert date): Sustainability Operating System Standards
a. Reading assignment: The Sustainability Handbook, Chapter 5, pp. 163-187; briefly skim Appendix 3, pp. 687-701 to generally understand its content
b. Group discussion issues: What could be done to convert the management system to an SOS, and what obstacles must be overcome to do so?

Class 8 (insert date): Planning for Sustainability Improvement
a. Reading assignment: The Sustainability Handbook, Chapter 6 and Appendices 4 and 6, pp. 189-225, 703-709, and 713-725
b. Group discussion issue: Either the whole class or student breakout groups will complete forms C, B and/or A, as time permits, for a large multinational chemical company or shoe manufacturer, or a local bakery. The results will then be discussed with the class.

Class 9 (insert date): Selecting Goals and Indicators
a. Reading assignment: The Sustainability Handbook, Chapter 7, pp. 225—257; briefly skim Appendix 7, pp. 725-751, to generally understand the content
b. Paper no.3: Select one of the following industries then review four sustainability reports of companies in that industry: (i) pharmaceuticals, (ii) paper and wood products, (iii) power generation, (iv) garment-making, (v) petroleum production or (vi) banking. Show in a comparative table the key indicators and goals each has used to measure its sustainability performance. Suggest other indicators that companies in that industry should consider, and explain why these indicators might be warranted.

Class 10 (insert date): Bringing Sustainability to the Front Line: Deployment, Integration, and Alignment
a. Reading assignment: The Sustainability Handbook, Chapter 8, pp. 257-281
b. Group discussion issues: What special challenges may come in trying to deploy environmental or social initiatives within (i) China, (ii) Mexico, (iii) Saudi Arabia, and (iv) Botswana? What approaches might be used to maximize the chances of success?

Class 11 (insert date): Data Systems, Auditing and Other Monitoring and Accountability Mechanisms
a. Reading assignment: The Sustainability Handbook, Chapter 9, pp. 281-309
b. Possible guest lectures: (1) Information Technology expert in environmental systems, discussing approach to identifying IT needs for sustainability programs; or (2) audit manager or
consultant, familiar with social and/or environmental auditing, discussing auditing tools and
 techniques, especially methods for determining ultimate root cause of nonconformities

c. **Group discussion issues:**
(1) What are the most important functions an IT system might handle for a sustainability
program at a paint, shipping or home-appliance company? Why?

(2) What kind(s) of audits should be considered for such companies to help drive sustainability
within their organizations? What types of activities, operations or functions should these audits
cover?

**Class 12 (insert date):** Transparent Sustainability Reporting—Part A

a. **Reading assignment:**

ii. Magazine, newspaper or web articles of the student’s choosing showing an example of how
“light brings heat brings change”, i.e., where the glare of publicity has caused a company or
other organization to institute socially or environmentally appropriate corrective action

b. **Group discussion issues**

(1) Discuss the expression “light brings heat brings change” and review some examples from
media stories and elsewhere of how, why and where that has occurred. Ask students to bring in
such articles to class and be prepared to discuss them.

**Class 13 (insert date):** Transparent Sustainability Reporting—Part B

a. **Reading assignment:**

ii. Sustainability reports: One or more recent sustainability reports or website write-ups from one
or more well-known companies selected by the instructor. The instructor may assign different
reports to different small groups within the class.

b. **Group discussion issues:** Class breaks into small discussion groups to evaluate what they like
and dislike about a particular sustainability report, then report back to the class their findings.
Class can then discuss what makes a good sustainability report—and a bad one.

**Class 14 (insert date):** Stakeholder Engagement

a. **Reading assignment:**

ii. Case study: Erik Simanis and Stuart Hart, *The Monsanto Company: Quest for Sustainability -
Teaching Note, and Parts A and B*, (World Resources Institute, Washington, DC, 2000) or
another recent case study or news article selected by the instructor involving stakeholder
engagement or the lack of it where it could have proven helpful.

b. **Group discussion issues:**

(1) What do the case study and/or guest lecture, and other recent examples in the news, suggest
about the importance of stakeholder engagement and the proper way to conduct it? What are the
dangers to be avoided?

(2) When should you try to convince company management that they should share decision
making power with a particular stakeholder or stakeholder group on a certain issue? How do you
convince them?
III. Putting All the Pieces Together—for the Long Term

Class 15 (insert date): Approach to Sustainability for Small and Struggling Companies
(If the facility visit is dropped, Class 15 can be combined with 16.)

a. Reading assignment: The Sustainability Handbook, Chapter 12, pp. 419-431

b. Possible Facility visit: Visit a small to medium size company that has been progressive on sustainability issues, and review with various company officials the strategies, approaches and challenges of the company’s various social and environmental initiatives

c. Group discussion issues: What sustainability-related initiatives does this company do well, and what additional steps might it consider for furthering the cause of sustainability and its own business prospects, given its size and resources?

Class 16 (insert date): Keeping the Initiative Alive: Making the SOS Sustainable

a. Reading assignment: The Sustainability Handbook, Chapter 16, pp. 543-551

b. Student team presentation: “Meetings with management” to present recommendations (See description of team project, above.)

c. Student papers: (1) Team PowerPoint of recommendations and (2) individual supporting paper explaining recommendations (See description of team project, above.)

c. Group discussion issues: Class and instructor will play the part of “management” to pose questions to student teams presenting recommendations